

## Minutes of July 23 Jakarta EE Steering Committee Meeting

The Zoom ID is:

<https://eclipse.zoom.us/j/499849869>

### Attendees:

Fujitsu: Kenji Kazumura, **Mike Denicola**

IBM: **Dan Bandera**, Kevin Sutter

Oracle: Will Lyons - **Ed Bratt, Bill Shannon, Dmitry Kornilov**

Payara: Steve Millidge

Red Hat: Mark Little, **Scott Stark**

Tomitribe: **David Blevins, Richard Monson-Haefel**

Martijn Verburg

**Ivar Grimstad**

Eclipse: **Paul Buck, Paul White, Tanja Obradovic, Mike and Wayne**

### Review of Minutes from Prior Meeting

Review minutes of July 9 meeting. Minutes were approved without objection

Minutes of the July 16 meeting will be reviewed next time.

### Jakarta EE 8 Release

*The core issue for today's discussion is the overall status of specification work.*

References are provided as an Appendix to these meeting minutes.

Review of required Steering Committee decisions and guidance, including a weekly update on the status of the TCK (Scott), PMC (Ivar) and Spec Committee (Scott) process was requested.

- PMC update on the progress of spec project renaming, creation of scope statements, spec project creation tracking, TCK jobs tracking, Spec Docs (Ivar):
- From Ivar: 13 Spec project marked done. 16 in progress. Some items have been added to the checklists -- finish with PR to /specifications.
  - Is Release Review required? Wayne -- yes, Release Review is needed. Discussion about need for release review. Project teams may declare service release (w/o Release review). Only contains API JAR.. Single project release that covers both API JAR and Spec. Document.. Even with a Service Release, we'd still need a Spec. committee vote. Wayne to review plan to determine if there are

- mo. [Reviewed How To page](#). Wayne and Dmitry to review and determine if the process can be optimized further. Followup posted to e-mail.
- See [Project Tracking board 15](#). Suggestion is to reopen all the closed issues and add additional check-list items /(to all issues on this board).
- Scott requested Maxim help create CTS Jenkins job. Board 14 will be examined by Dmitry and closed if it is not needed.

Links to GitHub project boards below:

- Project renaming tracking: <https://github.com/orgs/eclipse-ee4j/projects/11>
  - From Wayne last week: “There are three issues in the Specification Project Names board that are still open pending updates of the project name in documents contained in the repository (e.g. README and CONTRIBUTING files). I'll ask the PMC to encourage these projects to implement the updates.”
  - It appears these issues are still open. Any next steps?
  - CDI (including DI), BV, and Batch are deliberately not tracked in this group.
- Scope statements tracking: <https://github.com/orgs/eclipse-ee4j/projects/10>
  - 24 done, 1 to do. Wayne sent a note out July 22 on the remaining project (Jakarta XML Web Services). Bill replied that these APIs are not part of Jakarta EE 8; they're part of Java SE. If so, should we be tracking this?
  - CDI (including DI), BV, and Batch are deliberately not tracked in this group.
- Spec project creation tracking: <https://github.com/orgs/eclipse-ee4j/projects/13>
  - Closed.
- Jakarta EE 8 TCK jobs tracking: <https://github.com/orgs/eclipse-ee4j/projects/14>
  - The project boards indicated as of July 22, 7 TCK jobs done, 7 in progress, 16 to do. Limited progress reported since last week.
  - This is a source of risk. Are there further actions to be taken?
- 
- 
- Jakarta EE 8 Spec Docs: <https://github.com/orgs/eclipse-ee4j/projects/15>
  - As of July 22, 10 done, 18 in progress, 1 to do.
  - Should discuss overall target dates.

Review of Projects, Leads and Organizations Worksheet:

[https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1Cb3o4CPEX-rG0utS5CD9Z6XzsAtO2rYFx\\_-ZFnizz-A/edit#gid=0](https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1Cb3o4CPEX-rG0utS5CD9Z6XzsAtO2rYFx_-ZFnizz-A/edit#gid=0)

- Platform Spec project status. From Kevin on July 20:

“The Platform specs are getting very close to completing. We have the draft PRs in place for the Specifications repo. The APIs and Javadoc will need to wait until the final stages due to the dependencies on all of the other Spec projects.”

Red Hat projects -- in IP review currently. Projects won't be provisioned until IP review is completed. (all are passed but DI). Need Jenkins jobs (BV moved over. CDI still pending -- need Jenkins spaces created.) Wayne will coordinate with Web Development team at Eclipse.

- Overall status of spec projects

The goal is a target date of August (prior to Code One start date of Sept 16 and JakartaOne Livestream date of Sept 10).

<https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/14zRq36PiAmsNQuVB6t3ligCXIP3TGRCGggDmIGnAPyc/edit#gid=297538807>

- Are all specs showing activity? Draft PRs?
- Will some specs be submitted for ballot this week?
- Will all specs be submitted for ballot by August 5 (agreed “drop dead” date from last week)?
- Will we be able to release Jakarta EE 8 by Sept 10?
- Risk mitigation steps?
- Scott reviewed the Gantt chart he provided earlier in the week. He is working on submitting stable APIs.
  - Need to decide about the implications of the chart and bearing on Ballots. Will be discussed at Spec. committee tomorrow.
- Have the following release process issues from last meeting been resolved (yes or no with next steps)?

1) Hosting of GlassFish and other Eclipse implementation TCK results (Discussion among Bill, Arjan, Scott, Steve). Open issue - use a) release note or b) source code or web site repo. -- Will provide list of options that each project can select from. Someplace related to the project. Not hosted universally at Eclipse. Provide a reference /specifications PR.

2) Have TCK download directory conventions been defined (see notes from last meeting or email). I believe Bill and Scott have resolved. Was decided. A proposal was circulated. Decision was -- not absolutely strict. We will suggest a convention. ESPL signed will be hosted at Eclipse site. Other (preliminary work) is at project discretion.

3) Issue with dependencies. Scott published spec dependency analysis and there is active email discussion on the topic. Resolution should be captured or next steps should be identified.

<https://wiki.eclipse.org/JakartaEE8StagingPlan>

- JESP Operations Document progress:

Two issues related to setting up a TCK signing process were discussed and will need updates. The first was a general issue on how to approach this, the second was a request to create the spec committee JIPP (Jenkins instance per project):

[https://bugs.eclipse.org/bugs/show\\_bug.cgi?id=547635](https://bugs.eclipse.org/bugs/show_bug.cgi?id=547635) (any update?)

[https://bugs.eclipse.org/bugs/show\\_bug.cgi?id=548486](https://bugs.eclipse.org/bugs/show_bug.cgi?id=548486) (closed/fixd)

David was to prototype signing infrastructure. Is there an update on this? Set up. No blockers -- David to complete the loop once he has completed JMS staging. Initial pages in jakarta-ee/specifications is available.

- Question on the recording of ballots. (No time for discussion on July 9)
  - Eclipse was to come back with a description of what exists today and what they propose going forward. Something similar to the JCP ballot process where comments could be captured.
  - Current status is Ballots will be over email, using public mailing lists. David asks if PRs can be used. Eclipse would like the system of record to exist at Eclipse. For Jakarta EE 8, we will use existing e-mail ballot mechanism.

### **Eclipse Foundation Update**

- Readout of Jakarta EE strategic research to grow membership
  - Location: <https://eclipse.zoom.us/j/857167053>
  - When: Wed 24 Jul 2019 11:00 AM – 12:00 PM EDT

### **Marketing Committee Update and Jakarta EE Update Calls**

- General update -- Mike reported that Marketing Committee did not meet last week. Want Press and Blog details by Aug. 16. Will meet again Thursday.

Tanja / Paul B. spoke about community directions after Jakarta EE 8. Discussion about JakartaOne live-stream event. Schedule is firming up. Two events will require input from Steering Committee -- Steering Committee Panel -- Keynote speaker/sessions. Would like to use this coming week to allow committee members to review the message/proposal. Reviewed the proposed schedule. A couple of ideas for Keynote and Steering Committee Panel.

Please review the proposed schedule/agenda and collaborate with Tanja. See e-mail to jakarta.ee-steering, subject: Keynote and Steering Committee Panel at JakartaOne Livestream. Two topics were tossed out: Advantages of TCK availability in Open Source (David); Presentation about how Payara worked with and without the TCKs. Paul White discussed the community engagement -- meeting is scheduled for 8 AM PDT. See e-mail

### **Jakarta EE Next and Evolving the javax namespace (only discuss if time permits)**

- Discussion on this item was deferred to a future meeting.
- Status of the discussion on evolving the javax namespace to the jakarta namespace. May 6 document referenced below:

<https://www.eclipse.org/lists/jakartaee-platform-dev/msg00029.html>

*What is the status of this discussion? For example, something that outlines the current primary options that are under discussion, and the process we will use to select a direction. Variables under discussion that we are aware of are:*

- *Will Jakarta EE 9 focus on renaming only, with no new “functionality”*
- *Which packages will be renamed:*
  - *All*
  - *A designated subset (which subset)*
- *How deep will the renaming go (javax to jakarta only, or down to lower layers)*
- *Will we seek to implement all renaming in Jakarta EE 9 or will we allow for future renaming*
- *Approaches for implementing compatibility in the context of renaming*

The meeting adjourned at 10:30 AM PDT.

Appendix  
Jakarta EE 8 Reference Docs

The following Jakarta EE 8 reference docs are provided as an Appendix to these meeting minutes.

1) The scope of the release has been agreed to as described in the following document:

<https://docs.google.com/document/d/15rsZ5e3ONjsJjP635yev3dVjV5ZiKdlvRuHXQXpwQus/edit>

2) The “Next Steps” document provides an overview of the current plan:

[https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1VFaaE5-HaDIdm4c-ldJTcyO0sGoYcumGchq\\_aoNUq2M/edit#slide=id.g4d87466c3c\\_0\\_0](https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1VFaaE5-HaDIdm4c-ldJTcyO0sGoYcumGchq_aoNUq2M/edit#slide=id.g4d87466c3c_0_0)

3) The following Google doc is being updated:

<https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/15HdTmpvVIW53zm6wGwZoli5c1kRzM79G-ZDHe4FVMs/edit#gid=503170349>

4) Ed has drafted the following which was referenced in the May 7 and 14 meeting:

[https://docs.google.com/document/d/1ZtVZBLY2Q-zze0ftF0T0\\_7i0OlvhOVEkDTcBml2mG3E/edit?usp=sharing](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1ZtVZBLY2Q-zze0ftF0T0_7i0OlvhOVEkDTcBml2mG3E/edit?usp=sharing)